

---

## **LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B**

---

TUESDAY, 7TH MARCH, 2006 at 19:00 HRS - CIVIC CENTRE, HIGH ROAD, WOOD GREEN, N22 8LE.

MEMBERS: Councillors Rice (Chair), Herbert Brown and Newton

### **AGENDA**

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

**2. URGENT BUSINESS:**

The Chair will consider the admission of any late items of urgent business. (Late items will be considered under the agenda item where they appear. New items will be dealt with at item 9 below).

**3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:**

A member with a personal interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the Authority at which the matter is considered must disclose to that meeting the existence and nature of that interest at the commencement of that consideration, or when the interest becomes apparent.

A members with a personal interest in a matter also has a prejudicial interest in that matter if the interest is one which a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the member's judgement of the public interest.

**4. MINUTES: (PAGES 1 - 8)**

To approve the public minutes of the previous meetings of the Licensing Sub-Committee B held on 10 January 2006 (attached).

**5. SUMMARY OF PROCEDURE: (PAGES 9 - 12)**

The Chair will explain the procedure that the Committee will follow for each of the hearings considered. A copy of the procedure is attached.

**6. ASEQUBAMICHAEL RESTURANT, 52 WIGHTMAN ROAD N4 (HARRINGAY WARD): (PAGES 13 - 16)**

To consider an application for a NEW Premises License.

**7. DAMAK RESTAURANT, 395 GREEN LANES N4 (HARRINGAY WARD): (PAGES 17 - 22)**

To consider an application for a NEW Premises License.

**8. CHESTNUTS COMMUNITY CENTRE, 280 ST ANN'S ROAD N15 (ST ANN'S WARD): (PAGES 23 - 28)**

To consider an application for a NEW Premises License.

**9. ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS:**

To consider any new items admitted under item 2 above.

**YUNIEA SEMAMBO**  
**Head of Member Services**  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
River Park House  
225 High Road  
Wood Green  
London N22 8HQ

**NICOLAS MATTIS**  
**Principal Support Officer (Council)**  
Tel: 020 8489 2916  
Fax: 020 8489 2660  
e-mail: [nicolas.mattis@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:nicolas.mattis@haringey.gov.uk)

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)**  
**10 January 2006**

Councillors Rice\*, H Brown\*, Newton\*

\* denotes members present

**LCB43 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE** (Agenda Item 1)

None

**LCB44 URGENT BUSINESS** (Agenda Item 2)

No items of urgent business were received.

**LCB45 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST** (Agenda Item 3)

No declarations of interest were received.

**LCB46 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS OF LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B**  
(Agenda Item 4)

**RESOLVED**

That the Committee approves the minutes of the meetings of Licensing Sub-Committee B of 15 November 2005.

**LCB47 ROJ, 64 Grand Parade, N4 (St Ann's ward)** (Agenda Item 5)

The Licensing Manager, Ms Barrett, presented the Report on the application to the Committee, highlighting that the Enforcement Services had had made a representation upon notice of the application. This was because the premises were under enforcement action for trading without a Night Café Licence and that they were concerned with accountability at the premises. Ms Barrett also highlighted that the Planning Officer had objected to the application because planning consent had been granted to trade until 23:00. Ms Barrett informed the Committee that there had been one representation from an Interested Party, the Ladder Community Safety Partnership Board (LCSPB).

A representative of the LCSPB, Mr Ian Sygrave, asked Ms Barrett if an extension to the planning consent for the premises had been applied for to which the response was that none had been received from the licensee. Mr Sygrave also asked there had been further evidence of opening beyond permitted hours by the licensee. Ms Barrett responded that up until the Christmas 2005 period, there had been instances of late night opening beyond permitted hours. These were:

- 15 and 16 December 2005 at 02:00 and 03:30 respectively
- 18 December 2005 at 01:55
- 19 December 2005 at 01:00

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

The Chair invited the objector to address the Committee. Councillor Gina Adamou, also representing the LCSPB, stated that she was happy with the 02:00 closure but wanted the applicant to have the relevant planning consent. Mr Sygrave stated that it would make common sense for the licensee to apply for planning consent that matched the applied for new premises license. He also stated that he had concerns about the applicant's operating schedule in respect of the licensing objectives for the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, and public nuisance because he felt these did not promote the objective sufficiently enough.

The Chair invited the applicant to address the Committee. The applicant, represented by Mr Sherrif Mehmet, stated that before purchasing the premises, he was told that it had necessary consent to trade until 00:00 everyday. Mr Mehmet called for licenses to be granted either until 23:00 or to 02:00 to ensure uniformity along the Haringey Green Lanes. Mr Mehmet stated that the planning service only granted consent until 23:00 and that this was a contradiction to premises licenses granted later than this. He also stated that there were no complaints from local residents and other residents association in the area relating to the premises.

Councillor Newton asked the applicant what the capacity was of the premises. The response was 60 people (52 seated customers and 6 members of staff). There was no provision for take-away food. The Chair asked the applicant to explain why he had been found to be open after his licensable hours. Mr Mehmet replied that the applicant had made a mistake and recalled that at the time the licensee was new to the premises and had to compete with other premises along Green Lanes who closed at 02:00. He claimed that he had had no response from the planning service and that his previous licenses had been lost by the Council. Ms Barrett confirmed that back in 1980, the planning service had refused an application to become as restaurant and therefore the current applicants would need to apply for a certificate of lawfulness.

**RESOLVED**

That the Committee grants the application in full, subject to the following conditions:

- Conditions to enforce the operating schedule
- That a list of persons in control of the premises be provided to the Licensing Authority within seven days. One of those persons named on the list shall be present on the premises at all times. Any subsequent variation to the list shall be notified to the Licensing Authority within seven days prior to the said change taking effect.

There was an informative:

- The licence granted would not constitute consent under any other regime. It would be necessary for the licensee to obtain any other

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)**  
**10 January 2006**

consent that may be necessary for the lawful operation of the premises.  
This would include an appropriate planning consent.

**LCB48 THE GOLDEN STOOL, 89-91 HIGH ROAD N15 (Seven Sister's ward)**  
(Agenda Item 6)

The Committee heard that this application was to be withdrawn in its entirety and that the applicant would continue with the second application to be heard at Item 7 of the Agenda.

**LCB49 THE GOLDEN STOOL, 89-91 HIGH ROAD N15 (Seven Sister's Ward)**  
(Agenda Item 7)

The Licensing Manager, Ms Barrett, presented the Report on the application to the Committee, indicating that the applicant had offered amendments to the application since it was originally presented to the Committee. The Chair invited the applicant's representative, Sarah Clover, to table the amendments. The new hours to be applied for were as follows:

- For the sale of alcohol and provision of late night refreshments and all other licensable activities:
  - Monday to Thursday            10:00 to 00:00
  - Friday and Saturday            10:00 to 04:00
  - Sunday                            10:00 to 01:00
- Opening hours to the public were 30minutes after the above hours.
- To delete all references of the transmission of any international sporting event which falls outside the standard hours, timing to commence one hour before the start of the event and end one hour after the end of the event.

Ms Clover also offered to the Committee, in response to comments submitted by the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS), the provision of two registered door staff to control entry and exit of customers of the premises, and three staff on days when events were being held. Ms Clover pointed that in the operating schedule submitted as part of the application form, the applicant had offered to provide staff training to observe the measures necessary to promote the crime and disorder objective.

Councillor H Brown asked Ms Barrett if a plan of the premises was available, to which the response was regrettably, that none was available. Councillor Newton asked if the door supervisions mentioned would be SIA registered. The response was that this would be the case.

The Chair invited the representative from the MPS to address the Committee. They confirmed that they were happy with the revised hours for Sunday, and Monday to Thursday, but that they had concerns about the Friday and Saturday amended hours and insisted that these hours could cause a drain on police resources. Ms Clover asked the MPS representative

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

about alleged disturbances in 2005. The MPS representative confirmed that there were no logs of crime incidents in the vicinity of the premises, and none directly related to the premises itself.

The Chair invited the representative from the London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA) to address the Committee who made a representation on the basis that insufficient information had been received on which to determine the adequacy or otherwise of the fire safety arrangements in the premises. At this juncture, the LFEPA representative informed the Committee that a list of items which the LFEPA would like to see covered from an inspection point-of-view was presented to the applicant dated 9 January 2006. Ms Clover confirmed that she had received this list ten minutes previously. The list, which was compiled as result of a visit by the LFEPA representative to the premises, in essence, included requirements to remove or fully secure any portable Calor gas heaters; the need to produce a current certificate of service; music speakers to be removed from blocking exit routes; repair push bar doors that appeared to be inoperable in places; repair self closing fire doors that appeared to be inoperable in places; to ensure fire equipment was secure to wall brackets and were fully serviced and certified as so; to provide fire notices in staff areas; to install and maintain a 5839 Early Warning System to the British Standard with certificates. There was an exchange between the LFEPA representative and Ms Clover to clarify what regulations were required in respect of the premises because it was established that the applicant was not present during the LFEPA representative's visit to and inspection of the premises.

The Chair invited the objectors to present their cases. The issues and evidence were presented by interested parties of seven people who had attended the hearing. Mr Samuals of Sherboro Road made a statement that highlighted that he had made calls to the Haringey Noise Team regarding the premises on numerous occasions. He also raised his concerns, shared by his neighbours, about customers from the premises urinating, parking and fighting immediately outside of the premises. Mr Samuals stated that the licensee, Mr Edward Rapheal Kessie, had told him that these problems were not his responsibility. Ms Clover asked the objector if they presumed that the offences emanated from the premises, to which the reply was that the licensee could not prove that the offenders did not come from the premises. In response to a question from Councillor H Brown, it was established that the premises were c100 feet away from the objector's own property.

Mrs Atherley of Ermine Road addressed the Committee by alleging to have found a used syringe outside of her own property. She objected to the application because she claimed that the premises were consistently open late into the night and that the noise nuisance caused by this had caused her various ailments to worsen. She claimed that her illnesses did not occur before the current licensee took control. Ms Clover asked Mrs Atherley who she had complained to about these alleged nuisances; when (if) this was done; and whether she had complained directly to the licensee. Mrs Atherley responded that she had complained to the Haringey Noise Team but did not keep a record of when this was done. She also stated that she did not want

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

to talk to the licensee herself but had asked her son to do so because of the nuisances caused, particularly on a Friday and Saturday night.

Councillor Newton, in addressing all of the objectors present, asked if everyone had been affected by the alleged noise nuisance. The reply was a unanimous yes.

Mrs Henderson of Ermine Road addressed the Committee to raise her objection to the application on the grounds of noise concerns especially after 02:00. She confirmed that she had complained to the Haringey Noise Team and that she had not spoken directly to the licensee because she felt uncomfortably approaching him and the premises.

Mrs Thomas of Sherboro Road was invited to address the Committee and did so via her representative, Ms Thomas, her daughter and carer. Ms Thomas, supporting a letter of objection written by her mother on 28 November 2006, claimed that the licensee was unapproachable and alleged that on 27 November 2005 he had threatened to kill Mrs Thomas after she had attempted to complain to him. In addressing the Committee, Ms Clover refuted all of the allegations in Mrs Thomas' letter. Ms Thomas informed the Committee that she had witnessed some of the allegations and that the death threat was being dealt with the police authorities. In the interest of clarity, the Haringey Legal Representative, Maria Bilbao, referred the Committee to Mrs Thomas' letter of objection dated 28 November 2005, and read out its content.

The Chair invited Mrs Bacak of Sherboro Road to address the Committee with her objections to the application. Mrs Bacak claimed that vehicles had been vandalised in the vicinity of the premises and that emergency services vehicles could not get access to Sherboro Road because of the volume of vehicles used by patrons of the premises. She also claimed to have witnessed fighting outside of the premises only two nights previous to the hearing.

The Chair invited the applicant to address the Committee. Representing the applicant, Ms Clover gave a background synopsis of the premises. She then raised her concerns with the Fire Officer's objections. She was also concerned that her firm of solicitors had not submitted the relevant information in good time and that this may have been reflected in the Fire Officer's observations. Ms Clover pointed out to the Committee that the licensee's previous public entertainments license would have required stringent fire controls. In response to the Fire Officer's requirement for an early warning fire system within the premises, Ms Clover suggested that the managing brewery to the premises commission an independent consultation report to ensure that the licensee is not exposed to unnecessary additional expense. Ms Clover highlighted that the MPS had not produced any evidence of crime and disorder directly relating to the premises and also mentioned that she was conscious of the fact that representations from residents in Ermine Road may not reflect in vicinity rules of the 2003 Act but gave no further weight to this in her statement. Ms Clover informed the Committee that litter referred to as emanating from the premises was

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

unfounded because items of litter were not substances provided by the premises. Ms Clover, in reference to problems of parking and vehicles blocking access to the roads around the premises, stated that the premises were not of the kind that patrons would drive to and that it was a dearly loved local pub and that there was a local car park. With reference to noise nuisance, Ms Clover informed the Committee that the licensee was upset that residents had not approached him personally where they had concerns. She stated that the licensee had not been invited to any of the local resident association meetings. She invited allegations of a death threat to be put aside by the Committee. Ms Clover highlighted to the Committee that a February 2005 enforcement assessment visit carried out by Haringey Council had resulted in no further action. In respect of local residents' concerns about noise nuisance, Ms Clover stated that the licensee would install a noise limiter within the premises within six weeks, which would be set and monitored by Haringey Enforcement Services – hoping that this would display the responsible attitude of the licensee. Ms Clover also stated that, save for emergency access, windows and doors would be closed to avoid noise emanating from the premises.

The Chair invited the licensee himself, Mr Kessie, to address the Committee. Mr Kessie spoke about not being invited to the resident association meetings and also that he had co-operated with the police to hold surveillance operations on drugs and prostitution prevention. He stated that he would become a member of the *Pub Watch* scheme.

There was an exchange between the objectors and the licensee, including his representative Ms Clover, in which the objectors asked how Mr Kessie would be more approachable in future. The response was that he was always open and approachable. Mr Kessie also gave his assurance that he would try to ensure that patrons of the premises would leave in an orderly fashion.

Ms Bilbao clarified some of the statements that had been made by both objectors and the licensee and his representative. During these exchanges, it was established that the licensee would provide three door supervisors for functions on Friday and Saturday nights and any other late night events during non-standard hours. It was established that there were other premises operating licensable activities within the surrounding area of the premises. Ms Bilbao raised the issue of a forged signature on the applicant's application form. Ms Clover stated that she had been made aware of this situation and this had resulted in the applicant being badly let down by his former legal advisor. Ms Bilbao referred to references made to complaints to the Noise Team and Food Team, but that both teams with the Enforcement Services had made no representations. Ms Bilbao asked the Fire Officer to confirm his position in relation to the letter he had sent dated 9 January 2006. The Fire Officer confirmed that the requirements set out in the letter were not over-the-top. Ms Bilbao asked the representative from the MPS to comment on the allegation of a death threat. The MPS representative responded by stating that a Crime Reference Number (CAD) had been issued to Mrs



**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

Thomas, but that there had been no outcome of the alleged incident because it was still being investigated.

In summing up their objections, Mr Samuals, speaking on behalf of his neighbours stated that things had taken a turn for the worse in terms of the nuisances relating to the premises and that noise was the main factor. He also stated that parking and the safety of residents was impacted due to the calibre of people frequenting the premises late at night.

In summing up, Ms Clover stated that the licensee was a responsible person and that the premises were well-managed. She reiterated her concerns about the Fire Officer's report.

**RESOLVED**

That the Committee grant the amended application in part as follows:

- An 23:00 everyday finish for the following licensable activities:
  - Film
  - Indoor sporting events
  - Supply of alcohol
- The application was therefore refused for live music, recorded music, provision of facilities for making music, and provision of facilities for dancing.
- Any non-standard hours were refused.

The reason for this decision was to promote the licensing objectives for the prevention of crime and disorder, the protection of children from harm, and the prevention of public nuisance because of the volume and weight of the evidence heard by the Committee relating to urination, anti-social behaviour, noise, and evidence of illegal substance abuse directly related to customers of the premises as witnessed by interested parties living adjacent to and in the immediate vicinity of the premises.

There were conditions to the license as follows:

- The recommendations of the Child Protection Agency on proof of age are adhered to.
- Mandatory conditions at sections 19 and 20 are imposed.
- Conditions to enforce the operating schedule
- The premises shall not be opened to the public for licensable activities and the licence shall not become operative until all the matters set out in the schedule attached to the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority fire safety officer's letter of 9 January 2006 are carried out to the written satisfaction of the fire safety officer. The reason for this condition is to promote the public safety licensing objective because it is considered that if these matters are not carried out, people would be at

**MINUTES OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE B (2003 LICENSING ACT)  
10 January 2006**

risk in the event of a fire emergency. This view was confirmed by the fire safety officer's evidence.

**LCB50 MIZGIN RESTAURANT, 485 GREEN LANES N4 (Harringay ward) (Agenda Item 8)**

Due to time constraints, this Item was adjourned until a later date.

**LCB51 ALI BABA, 645 GREEN LANES N4 (Harringay ward) (Agenda Item 9)**

Due to time constraints, this Item was adjourned until a later date.

**LCB52 ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS (Agenda Item 10)**

None

**The meeting ended at 22:45**

.....  
**Councillor REG RICE**  
Chair of Licensing Sub-Committee B

Date.....

<p><b><u>LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE HEARINGS</u></b>  <b><u>PROCEDURE SUMMARY</u></b></p>	<p>Tick box to record action / decisi on</p>
<p><u>INTRODUCTION</u></p>	
<p>1. The Chair introduces himself and invites other Members, Council officers, Police, Applicant and Objectors to do the same.</p>	
<p>2. The Chair invites Members to disclose any prior contacts (before the hearing) with the parties or representations received by them</p>	
<p>3. The Chair explains the procedure to be followed by reference to this summary which will be distributed.</p>	
<p><u>NON-ATTENDANCE BY PARTY OR PARTIES</u></p>	
<p>4. If one or both of the parties fails to attend, the Chair decides whether to:</p>	
<p>(i) grant an adjournment to another date, or</p>	
<p>(ii) proceed in the absence of the non-attending party.</p>	
<p>Normally, an absent party will be given one further chance to attend.</p>	
<p><u>TOPIC HEADINGS</u></p>	
<p>5. The Chair suggests the “topic headings” for the hearing.</p> <p>In the case of the majority of applications for variation of hours, or other terms and conditions, the main topic is:</p> <p><b>Whether the extensions of hours etc. applied for would conflict with the four licensing objectives i.e.</b></p>	
<p>(i) the prevention of crime and disorder,</p>	
<p>(ii) public safety,</p>	

(iii) the prevention of public nuisance, and	
(iv) the protection of children from harm.	
6. The Chair invites comments from the parties on the suggested topic headings and decides whether to confirm or vary them.	
<u>WITNESSES</u>	
7. The Chair asks whether there are any requests by a party to call a witness and decides any such request.	
8. <u>Only</u> if a witness is to be called, the Chair then asks if there is a request by an opposing party to “cross-examine” the witness. The Chair then decides any such request.	
<u>DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE</u>	
9. The Chair asks whether there are any requests by any party to introduce late documentary evidence.	
10. If so, the Chair will ask the other party if they object to the admission of the late documents.	
11. If the other party <u>do object</u> to late admission, the following criteria shall be taken into account when the Chair decides whether or not to admit the late documents:	
(i) What is the reason for the documents being late?	
(ii) Will the other party be unfairly taken by surprise by the late documents?	
(iii) Will the party seeking to admit late documents be put at a major disadvantage if admission of the documents is refused?	
(iv) Is the late evidence really important?	
(v) Would it be better and fairer to adjourn to a later date?	
<u>THE LICENSING OFFICER'S INTRODUCTION</u>	
12. The Licensing Officer introduces the report explaining, for example, the existing hours, the hours applied for and	

<p>the comments of the other Council Services or outside official bodies. This should be as “neutral” as possible between the parties.</p>	
<p>13. The Licensing Officer can be questioned by Members and then by the parties.</p>	
<p><u>THE HEARING</u></p>	
<p>14. This takes the form of a discussion led by the Chair. The Chair can vary the order as appropriate but it should include:</p>	
<p>(i) an introduction by the Objectors' main representative</p>	
<p>(ii) an introduction by the Applicant or representative</p>	
<p>(iii) questions put by Members to the Objectors</p>	
<p>(iv) questions put by Members to the Applicant</p>	
<p>(v) questions put by the Objectors to the Applicant</p>	
<p>(vi) questions put by the Applicant to the Objectors</p>	
<p><u>CLOSING ADRESSES</u></p>	
<p>15. The Chair asks each party how much time is needed for their closing address, if they need to make one.</p>	
<p>16. Generally, the Objectors make their closing address before the Applicant who has the right to the final closing address.</p>	
<p><u>THE DECISION</u></p>	
<p>17. Members retire with the Committee Clerk and legal representative</p>	

<p>to consider their decision including the imposition of conditions.</p> <p>The decision is put in writing and Members return to the meeting.</p>	
<p>18. The Chair should read out the following statement before asking the Committee Clerk to read out in public a summary of the Committee's Decision:</p> <p><i>“The Committee Clerk will read out a summary of the Committee’s Decision. The Parties will receive a written Decision Notice in which the Decision will be formally set out. Please note that the written Decision will be substantially the same although the wording might vary slightly from the summary read out.”</i></p>	

**Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee on 14<sup>th</sup> February 2006**

**Report title: Application for a New Premises Licence ASEQUBAMICHAEL RESTURANT, 52 WIGHTMAN ROAD, LONDON, N4 1RU**

**Report of: The Lead Officer Licensing**

**Ward(s) affected: HARRINGAY**

**1. Purpose**

To consider an application by **ALMAZ SEREKE EQUBAMICHAEL** to provide a licensable activity in the form of Sale of Alcohol

**2. Recommendations**

- 2.1**
- (a) Grant the application as asked
  - (b) Modify the conditions of the licence, by altering or omitting or adding to them
  - (c) Reject the whole or part of the application

The Committee is asked to note that it may not modify the conditions or reject the whole or part of the application unless it is necessary to promote the licensing objectives.

**Report authorised by: Robin Payne.....**  
**Assistant Director Enforcement Services**

**Contact Officer: Ms Daliah Barrett**

**Telephone: 020 8489 5103**

**3. Executive summary**

For consideration by Sub Committee under Licensing Act 2003 for a New Premises licence

**4. Access to information:**

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985  
 Background Papers

The following Background Papers are used in the preparation of this Report:

**File: ASEQUBAMICHAEL RESTAURANT**

The Background Papers are located at Enforcement Service, Civic Centre, High Road Wood Green N22

## 5. REPORT

### Background

5.1 Application by **ALMAZ EQUBAMICHAEL**, for a New Premises Licence in respect of **52 WIGHTMAN ROAD** under the Licensing Act 2003.

### 5.2 Details of new Premises Licence application

#### Opening Hours for Public

Monday to Sunday 11.00 to 02.00

#### Supply of Alcohol

Monday to Sunday 11.00 to 02.00

#### Late Night Refreshment

Monday to Sunday 11.00 to 02.00

### 5.2 Crime and Disorder

Provision of CCTV and Surveillance monitor

### 5.4 Public Safety

Fire safety equipment will be checked regularly and any requirements made by the fire safety officer will be complied with.

### 5.5 Public Nuisance

Signs will be displayed to ensure and reminding customers and staff leave the premises quietly, arrangement are to be made for customers to park their cars in a manner that does not disturb local residence. Arrangement will be made to ensure that any waste bottles from the premises do not cause any detrimental environmental effect in the vicinity.

### 5.6 Child Protection

All staff will be trained that alcohol will only be sold to persons who can produce photographic, identification where there is any doubt of age.

Person under the age of 18 will not be permitted to use any gambling machines.



**6. RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS (CONSULTATION)**

**Responsible authorities:**

**6.1 Comments of Metropolitan Police**

The Police have no objections to this application.

**6.2 Comments of Enforcement Services:**

Noise team Have no comments on this application.

**Food Team**

Have no objections to this application

**Health and Safety**

Have no objections to this application

**Trading Standards**

Have no objections to this application

**6.3 Fire Officer**

The Fire Officer has made a representation against this application.

**6.4 Planning Officer – App 3**

Planning has no objections to this application.

**6.5 Comments of Child Protection Agency or Nominee**

No comments to make on this application.

**7.0 Interested Parties**

No letters of representation have been received against this application.

**8.0 Financial Comments**

The fee which would be applicable for this application was **£190.00**



**Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee on 7 March 2006**

Report title: Application for a New Premises Licence DAMAK RESTAURANT, 395 GREEN LANES LONDON, N4

Report of: The Lead Officer Licensing

Ward(s) affected HARRINGAY

**1. Purpose**

To consider an application by MR HASAN ISTI to provide a licensable activity in the form of Late Night Refreshments and Sale of Alcohol

**2. Recommendations**

- 2.1 (a) Grant the application as asked  
 (b) Modify the conditions of the licence, by altering or omitting or adding to them  
 (c) Reject the whole or part of the application

The Committee is asked to note that it may not modify the conditions or reject the whole or part of the application unless it is necessary to promote the licensing objectives.

Report authorised by: Robin Payne.....  
 Assistant Director Enforcement Services

Contact Officer: Ms Daliah Barrett

Telephone: 020 8489 5103

**3. Executive summary**

For consideration by Sub Committee under Licensing Act 2003 for a New Premises licence

**4. Access to information:**

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985  
 Background Papers

The following Background Papers are used in the preparation of this Report:

**File: DAMAK RESTAURANT**

The Background Papers are located at Enforcement Service, Civic Centre, High Road Wood Green N22

## 5. REPORT

### Background

**5.1** Application by **MR HASAN ISTI**, for a New Premises Licence in respect of **DAMAK RESTAURANT, 395 GREEN LANES,LONDON,N4 1RU** under the Licensing Act 2003.

**5.2** **Details of application being sought**  
**To provide Late Night refreshments and Sale of Alcohol**

#### Opening Hours for Public

Monday to Sunday 10.00 – 02.00

#### Provision of Late Night Refreshment

Monday to Sunday 23.00 - 02.00

#### Sale of Alcohol

Monday to Sunday 12.00 – 23.00

**5.3** **Crime and Disorder**

We work in peaceful environment and don't have any entertainment. We work with liaison with police we are very much aware of the residents safety and trying not to disturb them in any case.

**5.4** **Public Safety**

Our staff are always taught and aware of public safety.

**5.5** **Public Nuisance**

We don't listen music at late night and even during the day time, when listen the music it is very quiet so it is impossible to disturb the neighbours.

**5.6** **Child Protection**

Our restaurant is designed according the family situation. We don't have any situation direct and indirect could harm the children.

**6. RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS (CONSULTATION)**

**Responsible authorities:**

**6.1 Comments of Metropolitan Police**

The Police have no objections to this application.

**6.2 Comments of Enforcement Services:**

No comment from enforcements.

**Food Team**

Have no objections to this application

**Health and Safety**

Have no objections to this application

**Trading Standards**

Have no objections to this application

**6.3 Fire Officer**

The Fire Officer has made no representation against this application.

**6.4 Planning Officer**

There are no objections from Planning.

**6.5. Comments of Child Protection Agency or Nominee**

No comments to make on this application.

**7.0 Interested Parties**

1 letter of representation has been received against this application.

**8.0 Financial Comments**

The fee which would be applicable for this application was **£190.00**





This page is intentionally left blank



**Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee on 07<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2006**

Report title: Application for a New Premises Licence CHESTNUTS COMMUNITY CENTRE, 280 ST. ANNS ROAD, TOTTENHAM, LONDON, N15 5BN

Report of: The Lead Officer Licensing

Ward(s) affected ST ANN'S

**1. Purpose**

To consider a variation to an application by CHESTNUTS COMMUNITY CENTRE to provide a licensable activity in the form of Regulated Entertainment and Sale by Retail of Alcohol

**2. Recommendations**

- 2.1 (a) Grant the variation as asked  
 (b) Modify the conditions of the licence, by altering or omitting or adding to them  
 (c) Reject the whole or part of the application

The Committee is asked to note that it may not modify the conditions or reject the whole or part of the application unless it is necessary to promote the licensing objectives.

Report authorised by: Robin Payne.....  
 Assistant Director Enforcement Services

Contact Officer: Ms Daliah Barrett

Telephone: 020 8489 5103

**3. Executive summary**

For consideration by Sub Committee under Licensing Act 2003 for a Variation to a New Premises Licence

**4. Access to information:**

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985  
 Background Papers

The following Background Papers are used in the preparation of this Report:

**File: CHESTNUTS COMMUNITY CENTRE**

The Background Papers are located at Enforcement Service, Civic Centre, High Road Wood Green N22 8LE

## 5. REPORT

### Background

5.1 Application by CHESTNUT COMMUNITY AND ARTS CENTRE, for a Variation to a New Premises Licence in respect of CHESTNUT COMMUNITY CENTRE, 280 ST. ANNS ROAD, TOTTENHAM, LONDON, N15 5BN under the Licensing Act 2003.

5.2 Details of application being sought

To provide Late Night Entertainment and Sale by Retail of Alcohol

#### Opening Hours for Public

Monday to Saturday	9.00 – 00.30.
Sunday	9.00 - 23.30

#### Live Music

Monday to Saturday	12.00 – 23.30
Sunday	12.00 – 22.30

#### Recorded Music

Monday to Saturday	12.00 – 23.30
Sunday	12.00 – 22.30

#### Dancing

Monday to Saturday	12.00 23.30
Sunday	12.00 – 22.30

#### Sale of Alcohol

Monday to Saturday	09.00 – 00.30
Sunday	09.00 – 23.30

5.3 **Crime and Disorder**

The provision of entertainment and the sale of alcohol will only take place at pre-booked functions.

5.4 **Public Safety**

Fire safety equipment will be checked regularly and any requirements made by the Fire Safety Officer will be complied with.

**5.5 Public Nuisance**

Staff will call Taxis/Mini cabs for the use of customers leaving the premises when requested to do so.

**5.6 Child Protection**

All staff will be trained that alcohol will only be sold to persons who can produce photographic identification where there is any doubt that they are over the age of 18.

**6. RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS (CONSULTATION)**

Responsible authorities:

**6.1 Comments of Metropolitan Police**

The Police have no objections to this application.

**6.2 Comments of Enforcement Services:**

No comment from enforcements.

**Food Team**

Have no objections to this application

**Trading Standards**

Have no objections to this application

**6.3 Fire Officer**

The Fire Officer has made a representation against this application as follows:-.

Licensing Objective not adequately addressed	Location and detail of matters which are considered to put people at risk in the event of a fire emergency	Steps considered necessary to promote the public safety licensing objective
Public Safety	Throughout Premises	Fire alarm and emergency lighting to be serviced annually.  All fire exit signs to comply BS 5499 (running man) and old signs to be removed. Main hall exits require signs..
Public Safety	Throughout Premises	A written fire risk assessment to be made available for inspection  All staff training to be logged..
Public Safety	Kitchen	Doors to be effectively self closing.

#### 6.4 Planning Officer

No comments to make on this application.

#### 6.5 Health and Safety - Building Control

The following items were found to require attention:-

1. An Electrical Periodical Test Certificate is required to justify the adequacy of the existing electrical installation in the proposed licensed area.
2. A satisfactory Battery Discharge/Recharge Test Certificate is required to justify the adequacy of the emergency lighting installation.
3. The emergency lighting central battery room should be kept clear of storage.
4. A satisfactory Periodical Electrical Test Certificate is required to be submitted for the entire licensed area annually.
5. A satisfactory Battery Discharge/Recharge Test Certificate is required to be submitted every 6 months.

#### 6.5. Comments of Child Protection Agency or Nominee

Alcohol may only be sold to individuals over the age of 18 with valid proof of identification with one of the following:

A valid passport

A photo driving license issued in a European Union country  
A Proof of age standard card system

A citizen card, supported by the Home Office.

**7.0 Interested Parties**

No representations have been received against this application.

**8.0 Financial Comments**

The fee which would be applicable for this application was £190.00

This page is intentionally left blank